

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI
2 United States Attorney
3 DAVID T. RYAN
4 Assistant United States Attorney
5 Chief, National Security Division
6 COLIN S. SCOTT(Cal. Bar No. 318555)
7 AMANDA B. ELBOGEN (Cal. Bar No. 332505)
8 Assistant United States Attorney
9 Terrorism and Export Crimes Section
10 1500 United States Courthouse
11 312 North Spring Street
12 Los Angeles, California 90012
13 Telephone: (213) 894-3159/5748
14 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
15 E-mail: colin.scott@usdoj.gov

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff
17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

19 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

20 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 24-CR-00761

21 Plaintiff,

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

22 v.

23 Zheng et al.,

24 Defendant.

CURRENT TRIAL DATE: October 14,
2025

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: December
17, 2025

[Proposed] Pretrial Motions
Deadline: November 3, 2025

25 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
26 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
27 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
28 parties in this matter on June 5th, 2025. The Court hereby finds that
the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this
Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial

1 date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
2 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

3 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
4 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
5 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
6 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
7 or result in a miscarriage of justice; (iii) failure to grant the
8 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
9 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
10 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
11 diligence; and (iv) the case is so unusual and so complex, due to the
12 nature of the prosecution and the number of defendants, that it is
13 unreasonable to expect preparation for pre-trial proceedings or for
14 the trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy
15 Trial Act.

16 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

17 1. The trial in this matter is continued from October 14, 2025
18 to December 17, 2025.

19 2. The time period of October 14, 2025 to December 17, 2025,
20 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
21 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. . §§ 3161(h)(7)(A),
22 (h)(7)(B)(i), (h)(7)(B)(ii) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

23 3. Defendant shall appear in Courtroom 9A of the Federal
24 Courthouse, 350 W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, California on December
25 16, 2025 at 8:30 a.m.

26 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
27 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
28 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must

1 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
2 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
3 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
4 commence.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.
6

7 DATE

HONORABLE PERCY ANDERSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9
10 Presented by:
11

12 /s/
13 COLIN S. SCOTT
Assistant United States Attorney
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28